

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION**

<b>WALTER W. THIEMANN, <i>et al.</i>,</b>	)	<b>Case No. C-1-00-793</b>
	)	
<b>Plaintiffs,</b>	)	<b>(Judge Sandra S. Beckwith)</b>
	)	<b>(Magistrate Judge Timothy S. Hogan)</b>
<b>-v-</b>	)	
	)	
<b>OHSL FINANCIAL CORPORATION,</b>	)	
<b><i>et al.</i>,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendants.</b>	)	
	)	

**OHSL AND PROVIDENT DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION TO CERTIFY THE CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT  
WITH MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT AND ATTACHED PROPOSED  
SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM**

**MOTION**

The OHSL and Provident Defendants move this Court for leave to submit the attached supplemental memorandum in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The reason for this Motion is more fully explained in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Dated: April 23, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James H. Greer

David C. Greer, Trial Counsel  
(0009090)

James H. Greer (0046555)  
BEISER, GREER AND LANDIS, LLP  
400 National City Center, 6North Main Street  
Dayton, Ohio 45402  
Tel: (937) 223-3277  
Fax: (937) 223-6333  
Email:dcg@bgllaw.com; jhg@bgllaw.com  
Attorneys for OHSL and Provident  
Defendants

OF COUNSEL:

James E. Burke  
Rachael A. Rowe  
KEATING, MUETHING & KLEKAMP PLL  
1400 Provident Tower  
One East Fourth Street  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT**

In accordance with the Court's Scheduling Order, the parties filed their opening round of class certification briefs in December, 2003 and January, 2004. (docs. 221, 233, 244, 247, 251). As noted by these Defendants in their memorandum opposing class certification, briefing on the issue of certification was done without the benefit of class discovery. (*See* Defendants' Opp. (doc. 244) at 2, n.2). Defendants therefore anticipated the need for supplemental briefing. *See Prado-Steiman v. Bush*, 221 F.3d 1266, 1273-74 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000); *Simon v. Phillip Morris, Inc.*, 200 F.R.D. 21, 41 (E.D. N.Y. 2001).

Class discovery is still not complete and Defendants therefore continue to anticipate the need for further supplemental briefing. Meantime, however, Defendants have discovered information mitigating against class certification due to further evidence suggesting the inadequacy of counsel for the putative class, which was discussed in The Provident and OHSL's Memorandum Opposing Class Certification. (doc. 244 at pp. 14-17). The Court can and should consider the ongoing conduct of class counsel in determining whether the alleged inadequacies should preclude certification. *Dubin v. Miller*, 132 F.R.D. 269, 273 (D. Colo. 1990); *Hatch v. Reliance Ins. Co.*, 785 F.2d 409 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985); *Kingsepp v. Wesleyan Univ.*, 142 F.R.D. 597 (S.D. N.Y. 1992).

Accordingly, Defendants respectfully request that the Court permit Defendants to file the attached Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to Class Certification. Defendants have attached a proposed Order granting this Motion for the Court's convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James H. Greer

David C. Greer, Trial Attorney  
(0009090)

James H. Greer (0046555)

BEISER, GREER AND LANDIS, LLP

400 National City Center

6 North Main Street

Dayton, Ohio 45402

Tel: (937) 223-3277

Fax: (937) 223-6333

Email: [dgc@bgllaw.com](mailto:dgc@bgllaw.com); [jhg@bgllaw.com](mailto:jhg@bgllaw.com)

Attorneys for OHSL and Provident  
Defendants

OF COUNSEL:

James E. Burke

Rachael A. Rowe

KEATING, MUETHING & KLEKAMP PLL

1400 Provident Tower

One East Fourth Street

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing OHSL AND PROVIDENT DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CERTIFY THE CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT WITH MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT AND ATTACHED PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM was served upon the following via electronic mail, this 23rd day of April, 2004.

Michael G. Brautigam Gene I. Mesh Gene Mesh & Associates 2605 Burnet Avenue Cincinnati, Ohio 45219-2502 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiffs</i>	James E. Gauch Jones Day 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-2113 <i>Attorney for Defendant Ernst &amp; Young</i>
John W. Hust Michael E. Maundrell Schroeder, Maundrell, Barbieri & Powers 11935 Mason Road, Suite 110 Cincinnati, Ohio 45249 <i>Attorneys for Dinsmore Defendants</i>	Michael R. Barrett Thomas W. Breidenstein Barrett & Weber 500 Fourth & Walnut Centre 105 East Fourth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-4015 <i>Attorneys for KMK Defendants</i>

BIESER, GREER & LANDIS LLP

By: /s/ James H. Greer